UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X
SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,	: Case No. 08-01789 (BRL)
Plaintiff,	: : SIPA Liquidation
v.	: OBJECTION TO TRUSTEE'S
	: DETERMINATION OF CLAIM
BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT	
SECURITIES LLC,	:
Defendant.	· : :
	71

Richard Witten ("Claimant") hereby objects to the denial of claim in the Trustee's Determination of Claim, dated December 8, 2009, and states as follows:

- 1. On or about January 6, 2009 Claimant filed a claim with the SIPC Trustee relating to investments in Ascot Partners and Gabriel Capital, L.P.
- 2. Upon information and belief substantially all of the funds which Claimant invested in the two funds were invested in Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS").
- 3. By Notice of Trustee's Determination of Claim dated December 8, 2009, the Trustee notified Claimant that its claim had been denied on the following basis:

Based on a review of available books and records of BLMIS by the Trustee's staff, you did not have an account with BLMIS. Because you did not have an account, you are not a customer of BLMIS under SIPA as that term is defined at 15 U.S.C.§ 78*lll*(2). Accordingly, your Claim for securities and/or a credit balance is **DENIED**.

08-01789-cgm Doc 1630 Filed 01/08/10 Entered 01/08/10 17:51:03 Main Document Pg 2 of 2

4. By this Notice of Objection, Claimant objects to the determination by the

Trustee.

5. Claimant incorporates by reference in this objection the arguments

submitted by all other similarly situated Claimants in this case.

6. Claimant reserves the right to amend, alter, revise or supplement this

Objection; any failure to object on a particular procedural or substantive ground shall not

be deemed a waiver of any such rights, all of which are hereby reserved.

7. In the event that the Court does not allow Claimant's claim as a

"customer" claim, it should nevertheless allow Claimant's claim as a general unsecured

creditor claim.

WHEREFORE, the Court should overrule the Trustee's Determination and should

allow Claimant's SIPC customer claims in full, or, alternatively, as a general unsecured

creditor, and grant Claimant such other and further relief as is just.

Dated:

Mamaroneck, NY

January 8, 2010

/s/ Richard Witten

Richard Witten